

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/046,603 | 01/16/2002 | Devinder Mahajan | BSA 01-09 | 2861 |
| 26302 | 7590 07/15/2003 | | • | |
| | VEN SCIENCE ASSO | . EXAMINER | | |
| BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY BLDG. 475D - P.O. BOX 5000 | | | PARSA, JAFAR F | |
| UPTON, NY | 11973 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1621 | |
| - | | | DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003 | |
| | | | | \int |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/046,603

Applicant(s)

Mahajan Devinder

Examiner

J. Parsa

Art Unit 1621



| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sneet with the correspondence address | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| for Reply | | | | |
| · · | TO EXPIRE <u>one</u> MONTH(S) FROM | | | |
| sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In | no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the | | | |
| g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th | he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. | | | |
| | and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. | | | |
| oply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t I patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | , , | | | |
| | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 16, 2 | | | | |
| This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act | ion is non-final. | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa | except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| tion of Claims | | | | |
| | is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| la) Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | |
| Claim(s) | is/are allowed. | | | |
| Claim(s) | is/are rejected. | | | |
| Claim(s) | | | | |
| Claims <u>1-41</u> | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | |
| ation Papers | | | | |
| The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| The drawing(s) filed on is/are | e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t | to this Office action. | | | |
| The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami | ner. | | | |
| under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | |
| Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr | riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1. U Certified copies of the priority documents hav | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have | | | | |
| application from the International Burea | | | | |
| | | | | |
| _ | | | | |
| | · | | | |
| | priority under 35 0.5.C. 33 120 and/or 121. | | | |
| tice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | | | |
| tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: | | | | |
| IN SUFFERENCE TO THE TOTAL TOT | For Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less then thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply in the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause triply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of 1 patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) filled on Jan 16, 2 This action is FINAL. 2b) ▼ This act Since this application is in condition for allowance of closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pation of Claims Claim(s) 1.41 Ba) Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) 1.41 Ba) Of the above, claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the d The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of United States of the priority documents have a complex of the priority documents have a complex of the priority documents have a complex of the certified copies of the priority documents have a complex of the certified copies of the priority documents have a complex of the certified copies of the priority documents have a complex of the certified copies of the priority documents have a complex of the certified copies of the priority documents have a complex of the certified copies of the priority documents have a complex of the certified copies of the priority documents have a complex of the priority | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/046,603 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a homogeneous catalyst, classified in class 502, subclass various.
 - II. Claims 23-33, drawn to a method for producing methanol, classified in class 518, subclass 700.
 - III. Claims 34-41, drawn to a method for decomposition of a metal alkyl carbonate to carbon dioxide, classified in class 556, subclass various.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case a method for producing methanol can be practiced with a homogeneous catalyst as disclosed in US patent No. 6,197,271.
- 3. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

Application/Control Number: 10/046,603

Art Unit: 1621

inventions have a different mode of operation. Invention I is directed to a homogeneous catalyst for the production of methanol, whereas the invention III is directed to a method for decomposition of a metal alkyl carbonate to carbon dioxide.

Page 3

- 4. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have a different mode of operation. The invention of Group II is directed to a method for producing methanol, whereas the invention of Group III is directed to a method for decomposition of a metal alkyl carbonate to carbon dioxide.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Ms. Bogosian on 7/11/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/046,603

Art Unit: 1621

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to J. Parsa, whose telephone number is (703)308-4615. The Examiner's normal work hours are Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. If Examiner is not in, please leave a message. Your call will be return as soon as possible. Any general inquiry of a general relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1235. The Examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter, may be reached at (703)308-4532. Communications may now be transmitted via FAX directly to group 1600. The group 1600 FAX machine number is (703)308-4556.

Page 4

J. PARSA PRIMARY EXAMINER

July 11, 2003